

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

The Schur complements of γ -diagonally and product γ -diagonally dominant matrix and their disc separation[☆]

Jianzhou Liu^{a,*}, Zejun Huang^{a,b}

^a Department of Mathematics and Computational Science, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 February 2009

Accepted 6 October 2009

Available online 1 November 2009

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

AMS classification:

15A45

15A48

Keywords:

Schur complement

Gerschgorin theorem

Brauer theorem

Diagonally dominant matrix

Dominant degree

Eigenvalue

H-matrix

M-matrix

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we obtain some estimates for the γ -diagonally and product γ -diagonally dominant degree of the Schur complement of matrices. As application we present some bounds for the eigenvalues of Schur complement by the entries of the original matrix. Based on these results, we give a kind of iteration called the Schur-based iteration, which can solve large scale linear systems though reducing the order by the Schur complement and can compute out the results faster.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and notations

To begin with, we first recall some notations and definitions. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices, $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, where $n \geq 2$. Denote $|A| = (|a_{ij}|)$ and

$$P_i(A) = \sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} |a_{ij}|, \quad S_i(A) = \sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} |a_{ji}|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

[☆] This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971176).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: liujz@xtu.edu.cn (J. Liu), huangzejun@yahoo.cn (Z. Huang).

Take

$$N_r(A) = \{i \in N, |a_{ii}| > P_i(A)\}; \quad N_c(A) = \{j \in N, |a_{jj}| > S_j(A)\}.$$

The comparison matrix of A , denoted by $\mu(A) = (t_{ij})$, is defined to be

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} |a_{ij}|, & \text{if } i = j, \\ -|a_{ij}|, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Recall that A is a (row) diagonally dominant matrix (D_n) if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$|a_{ii}| \geq P_i(A). \quad (1.1)$$

A is a doubly diagonally dominant matrix (DD_n) if for all $i, j \in N, i \neq j$,

$$|a_{ii}||a_{jj}| \geq P_i(A)P_j(A). \quad (1.2)$$

A is a γ -diagonally dominant matrix (D_n^γ) if there exists $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$|a_{ii}| \geq \gamma P_i(A) + (1 - \gamma)S_i(A), \quad \forall i \in N. \quad (1.3)$$

And A is called a product γ -diagonally dominant matrix (PD_n^γ) if there exists $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$|a_{ii}| \geq [P_i(A)]^\gamma [S_i(A)]^{1-\gamma}, \quad \forall i \in N. \quad (1.4)$$

If all inequalities in (1.1)–(1.4) hold, A is said to be strictly (row) diagonally dominant (SD_n), strictly doubly diagonally dominant (SDD_n), strictly γ -diagonally dominant (SD_n^γ) and strictly product γ -diagonally dominant (SPD_n^γ), respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, we call $|a_{ii}| - P_i(A)$, $|a_{ii}| - \gamma P_i(A) - (1 - \gamma)S_i(A)$ and $|a_{ii}| - [P_i(A)]^\gamma [S_i(A)]^{1-\gamma}$ the i th (row) dominant degree, γ -dominant degree and product γ -dominant degree of A , respectively.

For nonempty index sets $\alpha, \beta \subseteq N$ whose elements are both conventionally arranged in increasing order, we denote by $|\alpha|$ the cardinality of α and $\alpha' = N - \alpha$ the complement of α in N . We write $A(\alpha, \beta)$ to mean the submatrix of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ lying in the rows indexed by α and the columns indexed by β . $A(\alpha, \alpha)$ is abbreviated to $A(\alpha)$. Assuming that $A(\alpha)$ is nonsingular, the Schur complement of A with respect to $A(\alpha)$, which is denoted by $A/A(\alpha)$ or simply A/α , is defined to be

$$A/\alpha = A(\alpha') - A(\alpha', \alpha)[A(\alpha)]^{-1}A(\alpha, \alpha'). \quad (1.5)$$

It is known that the Schur complements of positive semidefinite matrices are positive semidefinite; the same is true of M-matrix, H-matrix and the inverse of M-matrix (see, e.g., [1]). Carlson and Markham showed that the Schur complements of strictly diagonally dominant matrices are strictly diagonally dominant (see [2]). Li, Tsatomeros and Ikramov independently proved that the Schur complements of doubly diagonally dominant matrices are doubly diagonally dominant (see, e.g., [3,4]). Liu and Huang obtained that the Schur complements of generalized diagonally dominant matrices are generalized diagonally dominant (see [5]). Liu, Huang, Zhang, Zhu and Smith got some upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues, singular values and determinants of Schur complement (see, e.g., [6–10]). These very properties have been repeatedly used for the convergence of iterations in numerical analysis and for deriving matrix inequalities in matrix analysis (see, e.g., [11, p. 508], [12, p. 58] or [8]).

Meanwhile, investigating the distribution for the eigenvalues of the Schur complement is of great significance. For example, consider a non-homogeneous system of linear equation $Mx = b$ with a nonsingular leading principal submatrix. Partition M as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is supposed to be nonsingular. Partition $x = (x_1^T x_2^T)^T$ and $b = (b_1^T b_2^T)^T$ conformably with M . Then the linear system $Mx = b$ is equivalent to the pair of linear systems

$$Ax_1 + Bx_2 = b_1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$Cx_1 + Dx_2 = b_2. \quad (1.7)$$

If we multiply (1.6) by $-CA^{-1}$ and add it to (1.7), the vector variable x_1 is eliminated and we obtain a linear system of smaller size:

$$(D - CA^{-1}B)x_2 = b_2 - CA^{-1}b_1. \quad (1.8)$$

We construct an iterative equation as the following:

$$x_2^{k+1} = [I - (D - CA^{-1}B)]x_2^k + b_2 - CA^{-1}b_1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.9)$$

It is known that Eq. (1.9) is convergent if and only if the spectral radius $\rho(I - (D - CA^{-1}B)) < 1$. So, if $\rho(D - I - CA^{-1}B) < 1$, (1.9) is convergent and we can solve (1.8) by iteration, thus the original system. Therefore, if the eigenvalues of the Schur complement $(D - I - CA^{-1}B)$ can be estimated by the entries of the original matrix, it is easy to know whether a linear system could be transformed into a smaller one which can be solved by iteration. We call this kind of iteration the Schur-based iteration which converts the original system into two smaller ones by the Schur complement. The advantages of this kind of Schur-based iteration will be shown in this paper.

In this paper, we obtain some estimates for the γ -diagonally and product γ -diagonally dominant degree of the Schur complements of matrices. As application, we give some bounds for the eigenvalues of the Schur complement by the entries of the original matrix instead of those of the Schur complement. Particularly, we obtain that the eigenvalues of the Schur complements are located in the *Gerschgorin Circles* of the original matrices under certain conditions. Further more, we give an example to show the advantages of the Schur-based iteration.

2. The γ -diagonally and product γ -diagonally dominant degree for Schur complement

As is known, the Schur complements of diagonally dominant matrices and doubly diagonally dominant matrices are diagonally dominant and doubly diagonally dominant, respectively (see, e.g. [2,3]). But for the γ -diagonally or product γ -diagonally dominant matrices, these corresponding properties are not always true (see, e.g. [14]), which is shown by the following example.

Example 1. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -1 & 1 \\ 0.1 & 2 & 2.1 \\ 16 & 0.5 & 20 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \{1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{11}| &= 10, \quad P_1(A) = 2, \quad Q_1(A) = 16.1; \\ |a_{22}| &= 2, \quad P_2(A) = 2.2, \quad Q_2(A) = 1.5; \\ |a_{33}| &= 20, \quad P_3(A) = 16.5, \quad Q_3(A) = 3.1; \\ A/\alpha &= \begin{pmatrix} 2.01 & 2.09 \\ 2.1 & 18.4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\gamma_0 = 0.5$. It is obvious that $A \in SD_3^{\gamma_0}$ and $A \in SPD_3^{\gamma_0}$. Since $P_1(A/A(\alpha)) = 2.09 > 2.01$ and $Q_1(A/A(\alpha)) = 2.1 > 2.01$, there exists no $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $A/A(\alpha) \in SD_2^\gamma$. Hence $A/A(\alpha)$ is not γ -diagonally dominant. Similarly, since for any $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $2.09^\gamma 2.1^{1-\gamma} > 2.01^\gamma 2.01^{1-\gamma} = 2.01$, $A/A(\alpha)$ is not product γ -diagonally dominant.

In this section, we obtain some estimates for the γ -diagonally and product γ -diagonally dominant degree of the Schur complement under some conditions. And we give some conditions under which the Schur complement of the γ -diagonally and product γ -diagonally dominant matrices must be γ -diagonally dominant and product γ -diagonally, respectively.

For this, we first recall the following results.

Lemma 1 (see [13, p. 117, 131]). If A is a H -matrix, then $[\mu(A)]^{-1} \geq |A^{-1}|$.

Lemma 2 (see [13, p. 114]). If $A \in SD_n$ or SDD_n . Then $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{M}_n$, i.e., $A \in \mathbb{H}_n$, where \mathbb{M}_n and \mathbb{H}_n denote the sets of M -matrices and H -matrices, respectively.

Note that for a number $a \in \mathbb{C}$, a nonsingular matrix $S \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & x^T \\ y & S \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det(S)} \det(a - x^T S^{-1} y).$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $\alpha = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N$, $\alpha' = N - \alpha = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$, $k = |\alpha| < n$, $l = n - k$. Denote $A/\alpha = (a'_{ts})$.

(i) If $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A)$, then for all $1 \leq t \leq l$,

$$\left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}. \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) If $\alpha \subseteq N_c(A)$, then for all $1 \leq t \leq l$,

$$\left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T. \quad (2.2)$$

Here we denote

$$w_{j_t} = \min_{1 \leq v \leq k} \frac{|a_{i_v i_v}| - P_{i_v}(A)}{|a_{i_v i_v}|} \sum_{u=1}^k |a_{j_t i_u}|; \quad w_{j_t}^T = \min_{1 \leq v \leq k} \frac{|a_{i_v i_v}| - S_{i_v}(A)}{|a_{i_v i_v}|} \sum_{u=1}^k |a_{i_u j_t}|.$$

Proof. Since $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A)$, $A(\alpha) \in SD_k$. By Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\{\mu[A(\alpha)]\}^{-1} \geq [A(\alpha)]^{-1}.$$

In a similar way with the proof of Theorem 1 in [7], we give the proof of (2.1) as the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a_{j_t j_s}| + \sum_{s=1}^l \left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a_{j_t j_s}| + \sum_{s=1}^l (|a_{j_t i_1}|, \dots, |a_{j_t i_k}|) \{\mu[A(\alpha)]\}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} |a_{i_1 j_s}| \\ \vdots \\ |a_{i_k j_s}| \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t} - \left[\sum_{u=1}^k |a_{j_t i_u}| - w_{j_t} - (|a_{j_t i_1}|, \dots, |a_{j_t i_k}|) \{\mu[A(\alpha)]\}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{s=1}^l |a_{i_1 j_s}| \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{s=1}^l |a_{i_k j_s}| \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
 &= P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t} - \frac{1}{\det\{\mu[A(\alpha)]\}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{u=1}^k |a_{j_t i_u}| - w_{j_t} & -|a_{j_t i_1}| & \dots & -|a_{j_t i_k}| \\ -\sum_{s=1}^l |a_{i_1 j_s}| & & & \\ \vdots & & \mu[A(\alpha)] & \\ -\sum_{s=1}^l |a_{i_k j_s}| & & & \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t} - \frac{1}{\det\{\mu[A(\alpha)]\}} \det B_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A)$, from Lemma 4 in [7] we obtain $B_1 \in SDD_{k+1}$ and $\det B_1 \geq 0$. Thus we obtain (2.1).
 With a similar proof we obtain (2.2). \square

Lemma 4. Let $a > b, c > b, b > 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Then

$$a^r c^{1-r} \geq (a-b)^r (c-b)^{1-r} + b. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let $s = a - b, t = c - b$, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$a^r c^{1-r} = (s+b)^r (t+b)^{1-r} \geq s^r t^{1-r} + b^r b^{1-r} = (a-b)^r (c-b)^{1-r} + b. \quad \square$$

Now we are ready to give the main results in this section.

Theorem 1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $N_r(A) \cap N_c(A) \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$, $\alpha' = N - \alpha = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$, $k = |\alpha| < n$, $l = n - k$ and $A/\alpha = (a'_{ts})$. Then for all $1 \leq t \leq l$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a'_{tt}| - \gamma P_t(A/\alpha) - (1-\gamma)S_t(A/\alpha) &\geq |a_{j_t j_t}| - \gamma P_{j_t}(A) - (1-\gamma)S_{j_t}(A) \\
 &\quad + \gamma w_{j_t} + (1-\gamma)w_{j_t}^T \\
 &\geq |a_{j_t j_t}| - \gamma P_{j_t}(A) - (1-\gamma)S_{j_t}(A)
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a'_{tt}| + \gamma P_t(A/\alpha) + (1-\gamma)S_t(A/\alpha) &\leq |a_{j_t j_t}| + \gamma P_{j_t}(A) + (1-\gamma)S_{j_t}(A) - \gamma w_{j_t} - (1-\gamma)w_{j_t}^T \\
 &\leq |a_{j_t j_t}| + \gamma P_{j_t}(A) + (1-\gamma)S_{j_t}(A).
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Since $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$, by the definition of the Schur complement,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|a'_{tt}| - \gamma P_t(A/\alpha) - (1-\gamma)S_t(A/\alpha) \\
 &= |a'_{tt}| - \gamma \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a'_{ts}| - (1-\gamma) \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a'_{st}| \\
 &= \left| a_{j_t j_t} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| - \gamma \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
 &\quad - (1-\gamma) \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq |a_{j_{it}}| - \left| (a_{j_{ti}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{ti}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| - \gamma \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_{ts}} - (a_{j_{ti}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{ti}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
&\quad - (1 - \gamma) \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_{st}} - (a_{j_{si}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{si}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
&= |a_{j_{it}}| - \gamma \left[\left| (a_{j_{ti}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{ti}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_{ts}} - (a_{j_{ti}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{ti}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right] \\
&\quad - (1 - \gamma) \left[\left| (a_{j_{ti}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{ti}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_{st}} - (a_{j_{si}i_1}, \dots, a_{j_{si}i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_kj_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right] \\
&\geq |a_{j_{it}}| - \gamma (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}) - (1 - \gamma) (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3}) \\
&= |a_{j_{it}}| - \gamma P_{j_t}(A) - (1 - \gamma) S_{j_t}(A) + \gamma w_{j_t} + (1 - \gamma) w_{j_t}^T.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (2.4).

With a similar proof we get (2.5). \square

By Theorem 1 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let $A \in SD_n^\gamma$ and $N_r(A) \cap N_c(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$ satisfying $|\alpha| < n$, $A/\alpha \in SD_{n-|\alpha|}^\gamma$.

Proof. By (2.4), when $A \in SD_n^\gamma$,

$$|a'_{tt}| - \gamma P_t(A/\alpha) - (1 - \gamma) S_t(A/\alpha) \geq |a_{j_{it}}| - \gamma P_{j_t}(A) - (1 - \gamma) S_{j_t}(A) > 0.$$

Thus we get the result. \square

Theorem 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $N_r(A) \cap N_c(A) \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$, $\alpha' = N - \alpha = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$, $k = |\alpha| < n$, $l = n - k$ and $A/\alpha = (a'_{ts})$. Then for all $1 \leq t \leq l$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|a'_{tt}| - P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{(1-\gamma)}(A/\alpha) &\geq |a_{j_{it}}| - (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma} \\
&\geq |a_{j_{it}}| - P_{j_t}^\gamma(A) S_{j_t}^{1-\gamma}(A)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
x|a'_{tt}| + P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{(1-\gamma)}(A/\alpha) &\leq |a_{j_{it}}| + (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma} \\
&\leq |a_{j_{it}}| + P_{j_t}^\gamma(A) S_{j_t}^{1-\gamma}(A).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. By the definition of the Schur complement,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |a'_{tt}| - P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{(1-\gamma)}(A/\alpha) \\
 &= |a'_{tt}| - \left(\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a'_{ts}| \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a'_{st}| \right)^{1-\gamma} \\
 &= \left| a_{j_t j_t} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
 &\quad - \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^\gamma \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^{(1-\gamma)} \\
 &\geq |a_{j_t j_t}| - \left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
 &\quad - \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^\gamma \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^{(1-\gamma)}. \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$h = \left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

From (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8), by Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |a'_{tt}| - P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{(1-\gamma)}(A/\alpha) \\
 &\geq |a_{j_t j_t}| - h - (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t} - h)^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T - h)^{1-\gamma} \\
 &\geq |a_{j_t j_t}| - h - \left[(P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma} - h \right] \\
 &= |a_{j_t j_t}| - (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (2.6).

With a similar proof we get (2.7). \square

Similar to Corollary 1, we have the following corollary by Theorem 2.

Corollary 2. Let $A \in SPD_n^\gamma$ and $N_r(A) \cap N_c(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$ satisfying $|\alpha| < n$, $A/\alpha \in SPD_{n-|\alpha|}^\gamma$.

3. Distribution for eigenvalues

In this section, we present some locations for eigenvalues of the Schur complement by the entries of the original matrix instead of those of the Schur complement.

Firstly, we give some distributions for eigenvalues of the Schur complement based on the results of [7].

Theorem 3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $N_r \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N_r$ and $\alpha' = N - \alpha = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$. Then for every eigenvalue λ of A/α , there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$|\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| \leq P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t} \leq P_{j_t}(A). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Set $A/\alpha = (a'_{st})$. By the famous Gerschgorin Circle Theorem, there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$|\lambda - a'_{tt}| \leq P_t(A/\alpha).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq |\lambda - a'_{tt}| - P_t(A/\alpha) \\ &= \left| \lambda - a_{j_t j_t} + (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\geq |\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| - \left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k})[A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\geq |\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| - (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$|\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| \leq P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t} \leq P_{j_t}(A).$$

This theorem is based on the condition that $\alpha \subseteq N_r$. However, sometimes α satisfies not only $\alpha \subseteq N_r$ but also $\alpha \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$. As for the latter, we give some other estimates for the eigenvalues of Schur complement based on the results of Section 2. For this, we first recall the famous Ostrowski Theorem.

□

Lemma 5 (see [15]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. Then for every eigenvalue λ of A , there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq P_i^\gamma(A) S_i^{1-\gamma}(A). \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $N_r(A) \cap N_c(A) \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$, $\alpha' = N - \alpha = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$ and $k = |\alpha| < n$, $l = n - k$. Then for any $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and every eigenvalue λ of A/α , there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$|\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| \leq (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma} \leq P_{j_t}^\gamma(A) S_{j_t}^{1-\gamma}(A). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Denote $A/\alpha = (a'_{ts})$. From Lemma 5 we know that for every eigenvalue λ of A/α , there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$|\lambda - a'_{tt}| \leq P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{1-\gamma}(A/\alpha). \quad (3.4)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq |\lambda - a'_{tt}| - P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{(1-\gamma)}(A/\alpha) \\ &= |\lambda - a'_{tt}| - \left(\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a'_{ts}| \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l |a'_{st}| \right)^{1-\gamma} \\ &= \left| \lambda - a_{j_t j_t} + (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\quad - \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^\gamma \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^{(1-\gamma)} \\ &\geq |\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| - \left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &\quad - \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^\gamma \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^{(1-\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\quad - \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^\gamma \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^{(1-\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From the proof of Theorem 2 we know

$$\left| (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_t j_s} - (a_{j_t i_1}, \dots, a_{j_t i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_s} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_s} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^\gamma \\
& \cdot \left[\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^l \left| a_{j_s j_t} - (a_{j_s i_1}, \dots, a_{j_s i_k}) [A(\alpha)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_t} \end{pmatrix} \right| \right]^{(1-\gamma)} \\
& \leq (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq |\lambda - a'_{tt}| - P_t^\gamma(A/\alpha) S_t^{1-\gamma}(A/\alpha) \\
& \geq |\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| - (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (3.3).

From Theorem 4 we obtain the following corollary. \square

Corollary 3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $N_r(A) \cap N_c(A) \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$, $\alpha' = N - \alpha = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$ and $k = |\alpha| < n$, $l = n - k$. Then for any $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and every eigenvalue λ of A/α , there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| & \leq \gamma P_{j_t}(A) + (1 - \gamma) S_{j_t}(A) - \gamma w_{j_t} - (1 - \gamma) w_{j_t}^T \\
& \leq \gamma P_{j_t}(A) + (1 - \gamma) S_{j_t}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the mean value inequality, from Theorem 4, there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda - a_{j_t j_t}| & \leq (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t})^\gamma (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T)^{1-\gamma} \\
& \leq \gamma (P_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}) + (1 - \gamma) (S_{j_t}(A) - w_{j_t}^T) \\
& = \gamma P_{j_t}(A) + (1 - \gamma) S_{j_t}(A) - \gamma w_{j_t} - (1 - \gamma) w_{j_t}^T \\
& \leq \gamma P_{j_t}(A) + (1 - \gamma) S_{j_t}(A). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4. Numerical example

In this section, we first give an example to estimate the bounds for eigenvalues of the Schur complement with the entries of the original matrix. Then we give another example to reveal the advantages of the Schur-based iteration.

Example 2. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 20 & 8 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 6 \\ 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \{1, 2\}.$$

If we estimate the bounds for eigenvalues of A/α by the entries of A/α , there would be a large amount of computations to do. However, as

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(A) &= 14; & P_2(A) &= 17; & P_3(A) &= 16; & P_4(A) &= 16; & P_5(A) &= 11; \\
S_1(A) &= 14; & S_2(A) &= 12; & S_3(A) &= 14; & S_4(A) &= 18; & S_5(A) &= 16;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_3 &= \min \left\{ \frac{|a_{11}| - P_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \frac{|a_{22}| - P_2(A)}{|a_{22}|} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^2 |a_{3i}| = \frac{7}{15}; \\
w_4 &= \min \left\{ \frac{|a_{11}| - P_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \frac{|a_{22}| - P_2(A)}{|a_{22}|} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^2 |a_{4i}| = \frac{3}{5}; \\
w_5 &= \min \left\{ \frac{|a_{11}| - P_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \frac{|a_{22}| - P_2(A)}{|a_{22}|} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^2 |a_{5i}| = \frac{2}{5}; \\
w_3^T &= \min \left\{ \frac{|a_{11}| - S_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \frac{|a_{22}| - S_2(A)}{|a_{22}|} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^2 |a_{i3}| = \frac{11}{15}; \\
w_4^T &= \min \left\{ \frac{|a_{11}| - S_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \frac{|a_{22}| - S_2(A)}{|a_{22}|} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^2 |a_{i4}| = \frac{8}{15}; \\
w_5^T &= \min \left\{ \frac{|a_{11}| - S_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \frac{|a_{22}| - S_2(A)}{|a_{22}|} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^2 |a_{i5}| = \frac{8}{15}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \in N_r(A)$, according to Theorem 3, the eigenvalue z of A/α satisfies

$$z \in \{z \mid |z - 5| \leq 15.53\} \cup \{z \mid |z - 2| \leq 15.4\} \cup \{z \mid |z - 4| \leq 10.6\} \equiv G_1.$$

On the other hand, since $\alpha \in N_r(A) \cap N_c(A)$, if we take $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, by Theorem 4 the eigenvalue z of A/α satisfies

$$z \in \{z \mid |z - 5| \leq 14.36\} \cup \{z \mid |z - 2| \leq 16.40\} \cup \{z \mid |z - 4| \leq 12.80\} \equiv G_2.$$

Denote $\operatorname{Re} z$ the real part of z . Then $-13.4 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 20.53$ for $z \in G_1$ and $-14.4 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 19.36$ for $z \in G_2$. So we see that $G_1 \not\subset G_2$ and $G_2 \not\subset G_1$.

Example 3. Consider a system of linear equation $Mx = b$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = (3 \quad 3 \quad \dots \quad 3)_{1 \times 100}^T, \\
A &= \begin{pmatrix} 120 & -60 & & & \\ -60 & 120 & -60 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -60 & 120 & -60 \\ & & & -60 & 120 \end{pmatrix}_{50 \times 50}, \\
B &= C^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -60 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{50 \times 50}, \\
D &= \begin{pmatrix} 51 \times 120 & -600 & & & & & \\ -600 & 52 \times 120 & -600 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & -600 & 98 \times 120 & -600 & & \\ & & & -600 & 99 \times 120 & -600 & \\ & & & & -600 & 1200000 & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}_{50 \times 50}.
\end{aligned}$$

Table 1Results of example 3($\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$). Computer condition: Pentium(R) 4 CPU 3.2GHz, extended memory 512 M.

	CGM	SCGM
x_1	1.338752	1.250313
x_2	2.623017	2.450567
x_3	3.848661	3.600819
x_4	5.011911	4.701071
x_5	6.109618	5.751322
x_6	7.139409	6.751573
x_7	8.099808	7.701822
x_8	8.990306	8.602070
x_9	9.811391	9.452317
x_{10}	10.564522	10.252563
x_{11}	11.252054	11.002809
x_{12}	11.877123	11.703053
x_{13}	12.443488	12.353298
x_{14}	12.955343	12.953541
x_{15}	13.417109	13.503785
x_{16}	13.833208	14.004029
x_{17}	14.207848	14.454274
x_{18}	14.544822	14.854519
x_{19}	14.847129	15.204765
x_{20}	15.118822	15.505012
x_{21}	15.348622	15.755260
x_{22}	15.605010	15.955510
x_{23}	15.689931	16.105753
x_{24}	15.705309	16.204590
x_{25}	15.707172	16.255644
x_{26}	15.707189	16.257428
x_{27}	15.705470	16.208498
x_{28}	15.690838	16.107315
x_{29}	15.607772	15.957555
x_{30}	15.350868	15.757803
x_{31}	15.121572	15.508053
x_{32}	14.850169	15.208303
x_{33}	14.548211	14.858554
x_{34}	14.211614	14.458806
x_{35}	13.837391	14.009059
x_{36}	13.421750	13.509312
x_{37}	12.960488	12.959566
x_{38}	12.449180	12.359819
x_{39}	11.883403	11.710073
x_{40}	11.258960	11.010325
x_{41}	10.572084	10.260577
x_{42}	9.819632	9.460829
x_{43}	8.999238	8.611079
x_{44}	8.109436	7.711328
x_{45}	7.149727	6.761576
x_{46}	6.120610	5.761823
x_{47}	5.023552	4.712069
x_{48}	3.860920	3.612315
x_{49}	2.635856	2.462559
x_{50}	1.352129	1.262803
x_{51}	0.013772	0.013047

Table 1
(continued)

	CGM	SCGM
x ₅₂	0.001773	0.001802
x ₅₃	0.000752	0.000696
x ₅₄	0.000739	0.000579
x ₅₅	0.000720	0.000557
x ₅₆	0.000649	0.000544
x ₅₇	0.000577	0.000532
x ₅₈	0.000529	0.000521
x ₅₉	0.000504	0.000510
x ₆₀	0.000492	0.000500
x ₆₁	0.000485	0.000490
x ₆₂	0.000478	0.000481
x ₆₃	0.000471	0.000472
x ₆₄	0.000462	0.000463
x ₆₅	0.000454	0.000455
x ₆₆	0.000446	0.000446
x ₆₇	0.000439	0.000439
x ₆₈	0.000431	0.000431
x ₆₉	0.000424	0.000424
x ₇₀	0.000417	0.000417
x ₇₁	0.000410	0.000410
x ₇₂	0.000403	0.000403
x ₇₃	0.000397	0.000397
x ₇₄	0.000391	0.000391
x ₇₅	0.000385	0.000385
x ₇₆	0.000379	0.000379
x ₇₇	0.000373	0.000373
x ₇₈	0.000368	0.000368
x ₇₉	0.000362	0.000362
x ₈₀	0.000357	0.000357
x ₈₁	0.000352	0.000352
x ₈₂	0.000347	0.000347
x ₈₃	0.000342	0.000342
x ₈₄	0.000339	0.000338
x ₈₅	0.000331	0.000333
x ₈₆	0.00033	0.000329
x ₈₇	0.000319	0.000325
x ₈₈	0.000327	0.000321
x ₈₉	0.000312	0.000316
x ₉₀	0.000309	0.000313
x ₉₁	0.000322	0.000309
x ₉₂	0.000286	0.000305
x ₉₃	0.000310	0.000301
x ₉₄	0.000310	0.000298
x ₉₅	0.000274	0.000294
x ₉₆	0.000290	0.000291
x ₉₇	0.000310	0.000287
x ₉₈	0.000279	0.000283
x ₉₉	0.000240	0.000264
x ₁₀₀	−0.000064	−0.000060
Cputime	0.03125 s	0.015625 s
$\ Mx - b\ _2$	14.510824	0.232572

Obviously, M is real symmetric and irreducibly diagonally dominant with positive diagonal entries. So M is positive definite (see, [16, p. 23]). Thus we can solve this system with the conjugate gradient method.

Furthermore, by Theorem 1.12 of [17], D and M/D are also positive definite. Consequently, we can convert the original system into the following systems by using the Schur complement

$$M/Dy = f, \quad (4.1)$$

$$Dz = g - Cy, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{50} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{50} \end{pmatrix} - BD^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{51} \\ b_{52} \\ \vdots \\ b_{100} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} x_{51} \\ x_{52} \\ \vdots \\ x_{100} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} b_{51} \\ b_{52} \\ \vdots \\ b_{100} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we can first solve (4.1) and then (4.2) by the conjugate gradient method. We call this method the Schur-based conjugate gradient method.

As M/D , D and M are all nonsingular, the rank of M is greater than that of M/D and D . On the other hand, we know from Theorem 3 that the eigenvalues of M/D and D are more concentrated than those of M . So we predict that the Schur-based conjugate gradient method will compute faster than the ordinary conjugate gradient method (see, e.g., [18, pp. 312–317]).

In fact, solving the original system by the conjugate gradient method needs 90 iteration steps and it takes 0.031250 seconds' cputime to compute out x ; solving (4.1) and (4.2) by the conjugate gradient method needs 26 and 16 iteration steps, respectively and it takes 0.015625 seconds' total cputime to compute out x .

The results of computation are given out in Table 1, from which we see that the Schur-based conjugate gradient method (SCGM) is much better than the ordinary conjugate gradient method (CGM).

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions that greatly improved the presentation.

References

- [1] C.R. Johnson, Inverse M-matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 47 (1982) 195–216.
- [2] D. Carlson, T. Markham, Schur complements on diagonally dominant matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 29 (104) (1979) 246–251.
- [3] B. Li, M. Tsatsomeros, Doubly diagonally dominant matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 261 (1997) 221–235.
- [4] K.D. Ikramov, Invariance of the Brauer diagonal dominance in gaussian elimination, *Moscow Univ. Comput. Math. Cybernet.* (N₂) (1989) 91–94.
- [5] J.Z. Liu, Y.Q. Huang, The Schur complements of generalized doubly diagonally dominant matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 378 (2004) 231–244.
- [6] J.Z. Liu, Y.Q. Huang, Some properties on Schur complements of H-matrices and diagonally dominant matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 389 (2004) 365–380.
- [7] J.Z. Liu, F.Z. Zhang, Disc separation of the Schur complements of diagonally dominant matrices and determinantal bounds, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 27 (2005) 665–674.
- [8] J.Z. Liu, Some inequalities for singular values and eigenvalues of generalized Schur complements of products of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 286 (1999) 209–221.
- [9] J.Z. Liu, L. Zhu, A minimum principle and estimates of the eigenvalues for Schur complements of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 265 (1997) 123–145.
- [10] R. Smith, Some interlacing properties of the Schur complement of a Hermitian matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 177 (1992) 137–144.
- [11] G.H. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, third ed., Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1996.
- [12] R. Kress, *Numerical Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [13] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.

- [14] J.Z. Liu, J.C. Li, Z.H. Huang, X. Kong, Some properties of Schur complements and diagonal-Schur complements of diagonally dominant matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008) 1009–1030.
- [15] A. Ostrowski, Über das Nichtverschwinden einer Klasse von Determinanten und die Lokalisierung der charakteristischen Wurzeln von Matrizen, *Composition Math.* 9 (1951) 209–226.
- [16] R.S. Varga, *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [17] F.Z. Zhang, *The Schur Complement and its Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [18] J.W. Demmel, *Applied Numerical Linear Algebra*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1997.